

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,637 | 11/21/2003 | Gi Hyeong Do | 9988.074.00-US | 9123 |
| 30827 | 7590 11/01/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW | | | PATEL, RITA RAMESH | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1746 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| / |
|---|
| (|
| D |

| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/717,637 | DO ET AL. | | | | |
| | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Rita R. Patel | 1746 | | | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply | cation appe | ears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period will will, by statute, of | TE OF THIS COMMUN (a). In no event, however, may a (supply and will expire SIX (6) MO (cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | • | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on <i>21 No</i> | vember 2003. | | | | | |
| · | • | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | • | · | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | tion and/or | election requirement. | ı | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | • | | | | | | |
| 9)[]] | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | _ | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on 21 November | | | objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objec | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | | | CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)[] 7 | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Exa | miner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form P | PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | or foreign p | oriority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| ¥* | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority of | documents | have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of | of the priori | ty documents have bee | n received in this Nationa | al Stage | | | |
| | application from the Internation | nal Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action | n for a list o | of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | · | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P [*] nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/05</u> . | TO-948) | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,637

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 8/10/06 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings received 11/21/03 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Priority

Acknowledgement has been made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. This application claims the benefit of Korean Application No. 10-2002-0073895 filed on November 26, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0050011) herein referred to as "Cho".

Application/Control Number: 10/717,637

Art Unit: 1746

Cho teaches an apparatus for sensing a laundry amount in a washing machine which is capable of improving the degree of sensing of the laundry amount to heighten an efficiency of a washing machine by controlling a current and detecting a speed to reduce an error of sensing the amount of the laundry (page 1, paragraph [0002]). As shown in Figure 4 of Cho, there is a motor 109, a microcomputer 108, and a speed detector 111 for receiving the position detect signal from the rotor position detector 110 and detecting therefrom a driving speed of the motor 109. Speed detector 111 in combination with rotor position detector 110 reads on applicant's claim for a pulse sensor. Moreover, laundry amount sensor compares the speed received from the speed detector 111 with a previously stored reference speed (page 3, paragraph [0051]).

Cho teaches the claimed invention except fails to explicitly claim a washing machine and timer. However, Cho does state this present invention is used in combination with a washing machine for sensing laundry therein. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have at once envisaged the invention of Cho in a washing machine. In claim 1 of Cho, it is recited "an apparatus for sensing a laundry amount in a washing machine". Similarly, Cho teaches that the time taken to accelerate the motor to a certain speed is measured to sense the amount of laundry (page 1, paragraph [0016]); one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have at once envisaged that a timer is used to measure time in Cho. Measuring time is an integral function in Cho's invention and timers are commonly known apparatuses in the art used to measure time.

Art Unit: 1746

Re claims 4-5, Cho fails to explicitly claim a predetermined position of rotation whereby the timer measures, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize this feature of Cho to achieve the most efficient washing functions, and thus utilizing energy effectively. Also, by optimization of the position the timer measures, it improves determining the amount of laundry therein such that wash patterns can be performed accordingly. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita R. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-8701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,637 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RRP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER